arXiv:1603.00045v1 [math.AC] 29 Feb 2016

Integral dimension of a noetherian ring

Caijun Zhou
Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Normal University,
Shanghai, 200234, China

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper we always use R to denote a commutative noetherian ring with an identity. The main purpose of the paper is to introduce a new notion, integral dimension, for noetherian rings.

Let J be an ideal of R. An element $x \in J$ is said to be integral over J, if there is an equation of the form

$$x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0$$

where $a_i \in J^i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is not difficult to show that the set of all elements which are integral over J is an ideal of R. We will use $\overline{J}$ to denote this ideal, and call it the integral closure of the ideal J. An ideal I with $I \subseteq \overline{J}$ will be said to be integral over J.

One of the main interests on $\overline{J}$ is when $\overline{J^n}$ is contained in a power of J. Let us recall several remarkable results established in the past years. Rees [Re] showed that if R is an analytically unramified local ring and J an ideal of R, then there is an integer k, depending on J, such that $\overline{J^n} \subseteq J^{n-k}$ for $n \geq k$.

Let R be the ring of convergent power series in n variables $Z_1, \cdots, Z_n$ over the field of complex numbers $\mathbf{C}$. Let $f \in R$ be a non unit element and $J = (\partial f/\partial Z_1, \cdots, \partial f/\partial Z_n)$ be the Jacobian ideal of f. It can be proved [cf. HS] that f is integral over J. Briançon and Skoda [BS] showed by analytic method that $f^n \in J$, and this is known as the Briançon-Skoda theorem.

Lipman and Sathaye [LS] extended the theorem of Briançon-Skoda to arbitrary regular rings by purely algebraic method. They proved if R ia a regular ring of dimension $d > 0$, then for all ideals J of R, $\overline{J^n} \subseteq J^{n-d+1}$ for $n \geq d-1$.

Later on, Huneke [Hu, Theorem 4.13] proved a far reaching result for a broad class of noetherian rings. For example, He obtained that, for any reduced excellent local ring R, there exists an integer $k \geq 0$, such that for all ideals J of R, $\overline{J^n} \subseteq J^{n-k}$ for $n \geq k$. The result of Huneke is known as the uniform Briançon-Skoda theorem. Recently, Andersson and Wulcan [AW] presented global effective versions of the Briançon-Skoda-Huneke theorem by analytic method.

In this paper, instead of considering the integral closure of the power ideal $\overline{J^n}$, we will study the power of the integral closure of an ideal $\overline{J}^n$. We prove in

the next section for any ideal J of R,

$$J^n \subseteq \overline{J}^n \subseteq \overline{J^n}.$$

Hence the comparison of $\overline{J}^n$ with the power of J may be easier than that of $\overline{J^n}$. Motivated by this, we introduce the following notion of integral dimension for noetherian rings.

Definition 1.1 *Let R be a noetherian ring. If there exists an integer $k \geq 0$ such that for all ideals J of R and for $n \geq k$*

$$\overline{J}^n \subseteq J^{n-k}, \tag{1.1}$$

then we define the integral dimension of R, denoted by $i(R)$, to be the least integer k such that (1.1) holds for all J and all $n \geq k$. If such k does not exist, then we set $i(R) = \infty$.

It is obvious that $i(R) = k < \infty$ if and only if k is the least integer such that for every pair of ideals $J \subseteq I$, where I is integral over J,

$$I^n \subseteq J^{n-k}$$

for $n \geq k$. Moreover, we have $Q^{k+1} = 0$ for the nilradical Q of R because it is integral over the zero ideal. Clearly, if R is an artinian ring, then $i(R) \leq l(R)$, where $l(R)$ denotes the length of R.

It follows easily from the Lipman-Sathaye theorem, $i(R) \leq d - 1$ for every regular ring of dimension $d > 0$. The above mentioned result of Huneke implies that if R is a reduced excellent local ring, then $i(R) < \infty$.

In this paper, we will prove some basic facts about the behavior of $i(R)$ under several operations on R. The results show that $i(R)$ behaves well under operations such as localization and completion.

We will give a lower bound for $i(R)$ in terms of the dimension d of R. Explicitly, we will show $i(R) \geq d - 1$. In particular, it follows from Lipman-Sathaye theorem, if R is a regular ring of dimension $d > 0$, then $i(R) = d - 1$. We can prove a noetherian ring R with $i(R) = 0$ if and only if R is a regular ring of dimension $d \leq 1$.

The main goal of the paper is to show that $i(R) < \infty$ for a large class of noetherian rings. Recall that a S-algebra R is said to be essentially of finite type over a ring S, if R is a localization of a finitely generated S-algebra. Our main result of the paper states as follows:

Theorem 3.6 *Let R be a noetherian ring of finite dimension. If R satisfies one of the following conditions, then $i(R) < \infty$.*

(i) *R is essentially of finite type over a local ring S.*

(ii) *R is a ring of characteristic p, and R is module finite over R^p.*

(iii) *R is essentially of finite type over the ring of integer numbers $\boldsymbol{Z}$.*

In particular, one can conclude from Theorem 3.6, $i(R) < \infty$ for any noetherian local ring R. It implies that the notion of the integral dimension becomes

a well-defined notion and has concrete meaning in the class of local rings. We do not know whether $i(R)$ is finite for every noetherian ring R. It seems very difficult to give an answer to the question in general. However, we can prove (see, Corollary 2.11) that $\dim(R) < \infty$ if $i(R) < \infty$. We make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.2 *Let R be a noetherian ring of finite dimension. Then $i(R) < \infty$.*

Huneke [Hu] observed that the uniform Briançon-Skoda property plays an important role in the study of the uniform Artin-Rees property of a noetherian ring. As pointed out in Theorem 3.2, the finiteness property of integral dimension is also useful in proving a ring with such uniform Artin-Rees property. The proof of our main result Theorem 3.6 depends heavily on the uniform Artin-Rees theorem of Huneke [Hu]. The main technique of Huneke came from the paper of Lipman and Sathaye [LS] in characteristic 0 and the theory of tight closure of [HH1] [HH2] in characteristic p. It can not be applied directly to proving a result more general than Theorem 3.6.

Even for the local case, it would be very interesting to reveal more about the relationship between $i(R)$ and $i(R/aR)$ for an element a in R with $\dim(R/aR) = \dim(R) - 1$. We guess that they may be related to each other by something like the multiplicities, $e(R)$ and $e(R/(a))$, of the maximal ideals of R and $R/(a)$. The answer is not known even for $i(R)$ and $i(R[X])$, where $R[X]$ is the polynomial ring of R in the variable X. Hence there are a lot of questions about integral dimension remained for further studying.

2 Basic properties

In this section, we will recall some basic facts about the integral closure of an ideal, and then presents some properties of the integral dimension $i(R)$. Some of the results are known, one can find in [cf. HS], we will prove them for convenience of readers.

Let Q be the nilradical of R and R_{red} be the ring of R modulo Q. Since there is an integer n such that $Q^n = 0$, it is easy to see that Q is contained in $\overline{J}$ for every ideal J of R, and an element x is integral over J if and only if $\bar{x}$, the image of x in R_{red}, is integral over JR_{red}. Thus we have:

Proposition 2.1 *Let J be an ideal of R. Then $\overline{JR_{red}} = \overline{J}R_{red}$.*

Integral dependence behaves well under localization:

Proposition 2.2 *Let J be an ideal of R. Then for any multiplicatively closed subset T of R, $T^{-1}\overline{J} = \overline{T^{-1}J}$.*

Proof. Clearly, $T^{-1}\overline{J} \subseteq \overline{T^{-1}J}$ by the definition of integral dependence. Let $a \in \overline{T^{-1}J}$. By the definition of integral dependence again, there is an equation of the form

$$a^n + a_1 a^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0$$

in the ring $T^{-1}R$, where $a_i \in T^{-1}J^i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Choose an element $b \in T$ such that $ba \in R$ and $ba_i \in J^i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence we have

$$(ba)^n + a_1 b(ba)^{n-1} + \cdots + b^n a_n = 0$$

is an equation with coefficients in R, and there is an element $b' \in T$ such that

$$b'((ba)^n + a_1 b(ba)^{n-1} + \cdots + b^n a_n) = 0$$

in R. It shows that

$$(b'ba)^n + a_1 b'b(b'ba)^{n-1} + \cdots + (b'b)^n a_n = 0$$

is the desired equation which implies $b'ba$ is integral over J. So $a \in T^{-1}\overline{J}$, and $\overline{T^{-1}J} \subseteq T^{-1}\overline{J}$. This proves the proposition.

Corollary 2.3 *Let T be any multiplicatively closed subset of R. Then $i(T^{-1}R) \leq i(R)$.*

Proof. If $i(R) = \infty$, there is nothing to prove. Now, assume that $i(R) = k$ is finite. For any ideal K of $T^{-1}R$, there exists an ideal J of R such that $K = T^{-1}J$. By Proposition 2.2, $\overline{K} = T^{-1}\overline{J}$. Note that $\overline{J}^n \subseteq J^{n-k}$ for $n \geq k$. Thus, for $n \geq k$, we have

$$\overline{K}^n = (T^{-1}\overline{J})^n = T^{-1}\overline{J}^n \subseteq T^{-1}J^{n-k} = (T^{-1}J)^{n-k} = K^{n-k}$$

and it follows that $i(T^{-1}R) \leq k$.

It is well-known the dimension of R can be computed as follows:

$$\dim(R) = sup\{\ \dim(R_{\mathtt{m}}) \mid \mathtt{m} \text{ a maximal ideal of R}\},$$

where $R_{\mathtt{m}}$ denotes the localization of R at the maximal ideal $\mathtt{m}$. Similarly, we have the following computation for integral dimension.

Corollary 2.4 *For a noetherian ring R, $i(R) = sup\{\ i(R_{\mathtt{m}}) \mid \mathtt{m}$ a maximal ideal of $R\}$.*

Proof. Let us set

$$t = sup\{\ i(R_{\mathtt{m}}) \mid \mathtt{m} \text{ a maximal ideal of } R\}.$$

By Corollary 2.3, we have $i(R) \geq t$. On the other hand, if $t = \infty$, then $i(R) = \infty$ and thus $i(R) = t$ holds in this case.

Now, assume that $t < \infty$. For any ideal I of R, we have $i(R_{\mathtt{m}}) \leq t$ and

$$(\overline{IR_{\mathtt{m}}})^n \subseteq (IR_{\mathtt{m}})^{n-t}$$

for all for $n \geq t$ and for any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of R. By Proposition 2.2, $\overline{IR_{\mathfrak{m}}} = \overline{I}R_{\mathfrak{m}}$. It implies

$$\overline{I}^n R_{\mathfrak{m}} \subseteq I^{n-t} R_{\mathfrak{m}}$$

for all maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}$. Hence $\overline{I}^n \subseteq I^{n-t}$ for $n \geq t$, and consequently $i(R) \leq t$. This proves the corollary.

Now, we recall a useful tool, the reduction of an ideal, for studying the integral closure of an ideal. An ideal $J \subseteq I$ is said to be a reduction of the ideal I, if there exists an integer k such that $I^{k+l} = JI^k$. One important result about reduction is the following proposition.

Proposition 2.5 *Let R be a noetherian ring and $J \subseteq I$ a pair of ideals of R. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) *J is a reduction of I.*

(ii) *I is integral over J.*

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) By assumption, there is an integer k such that $I^{k+l} = JI^k$. As R is a noetherian ring, the ideal I^k is finitely generated. Set $I^k = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n)$. For $a \in I$ and $i (1 \leq i \leq n)$, write $aa_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} a_j$ for some $a_{ij} \in J$. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be the matrix $(\delta_{ij} a - a_{ij})$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta function. By Cramer's Rule, $\det(A) I^k = 0$. In particular, $\det(A) a^k = 0$, and an expansion of $\det(A) a^k = 0$ yields the desired equation of integral dependence of a over J. Hence I is integral over J.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Suppose that I is integral over J. Write $I = (b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_s)$. For each $i, 1 \leq i \leq s$, there is an equation of the form

$$b_i^{n_i} + c_{i1} b_i^{n_i - 1} + \cdots + c_{in_i} = 0$$

where $c_{ij} \in I^j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n_i$. Thus it implies $b_i^{n_i} \in JI^{n_i - 1}$. Put $k = \sum_{j=1}^s n_j + 1$. It is clear that if $r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_s = k$, every element of the form $b_1^{r_1} b_2^{r_2} \cdots b_s^{r_s}$ lies in JI^{k-1}, and this proves that $I^k = JI^{k-1}$.

As an easy consequence of the proposition, we have the following result mentioned in the last section.

Corollary 2.6 *Let J be an ideal of R. Then for any integer n, $J^n \subseteq \overline{J}^n \subseteq \overline{J^n}$.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.5, J is a reduction of $\overline{J}$. Thus there is an integer k such that $\overline{J}^{k+1} = J\overline{J}^k$. For an integer n, we have $(\overline{J}^n)^{k+1} = J^n (\overline{J}^n)^k$. By Proposition 2.5 again, $\overline{J}^n$ is integral over J^n, and consequently

$$J^n \subseteq \overline{J}^n \subseteq \overline{J^n}.$$

Let $\varphi : R \to S$ be a morphism of noetherian rings. The property of persistence of integral closure states that $\varphi(\overline{J}) \subseteq \overline{\varphi(J)}$ for any ideal J of R. This follows as by applying φ to an equation of integral dependence of an element a over J to obtain an equation of integral dependence of $\varphi(a)$ over the ideal $\varphi(J)S$.

Proposition 2.7 *Let $\varphi : R \to S$ be a faithfully flat morphism of noetherian rings. Then $i(R) \leq i(S)$.*

Proof. If $i(S) = \infty$, there is nothing to prove. Now, we assume $i(S) = k$ is finite. Let J be an ideal of R. Since $i(S) = k$, it shows $\overline{\varphi(J)}^n \subseteq \varphi(J)^{n-k}$ for $n \geq k$. By persistence of integral closure, we have $\varphi(\overline{J}) \subseteq \overline{\varphi(J)}$. So $\varphi(\overline{J})^n \subseteq \varphi(J)^{n-k}$. It implies $\varphi(\overline{J}^n) \subseteq \varphi(J^{n-k})$. Note that φ is a faithfully flat morphism of noetherian rings, we conclude $\overline{J}^n \subseteq J^{n-k}$ for $n \geq k$, and this proves $i(R) \leq i(S)$.

If R is a local ring with the unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, we use $\hat{R}$ to denote the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic completion of R. Since $\hat{R}$ is faithfully flat over R, $i(R) \leq i(\hat{R})$ by Proposition 2.7. We prove that this inequality is indeed an equality.

Proposition 2.8 *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a noetherian local ring. Then $i(R) = i(\hat{R})$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove $i(\hat{R}) \leq i(R)$ by Proposition 2.7. If $i(R) = \infty$, there is nothing to prove. In fact, such case cannot happen, we will prove in the next section, $i(R)$ is always a finite number. Now, put $i(R) = k$, and naturally regard R as a sub-ring of $\hat{R}$. Let $K = (b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_r)$ be an arbitrary ideal of $\hat{R}$.

If K is a $\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}$-primary ideal, then there exists an integer t such that $\mathfrak{m}^t\hat{R} \subseteq K$. By the definition of completion of a ring, we can choose elements $a_i \in R$ such that $b_i - a_i$ lies in $m^{t+1}\hat{R}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let J be the ideal of R which is generated by $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_r$. Clearly, $K \subseteq J\hat{R} + \mathfrak{m}K$. So it follows that $K = J\hat{R}$ by Nakayama lemma. Since the integral closure $\overline{K}$ of K is also a $\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}$-primary ideal, there is an ideal I of R, replacing I by $I + J$, we may assume $J \subseteq I$, such that $\overline{K} = I\hat{R}$. By Proposition 2.5, $J\hat{R}$ is a reduction of $I\hat{R}$, and there is an integer n_0 such that $I^{n_0+1}\hat{R} = JI^{n_0}\hat{R}$. As $\hat{R}$ is faithfully flat over R, we obtain $I^{n_0+1} = JI^{n_0}$. Hence for $n \geq k$, $I^n \subseteq J^{n-k}$, and consequently

$$\overline{K}^n = I^n\hat{R} \subseteq J^{n-k}\hat{R} = (J\hat{R})^{n-k} = K^{n-k}.$$

For an arbitrary ideal K of $\hat{R}$, we have for $n \geq k$ and all positive integer i

$$\overline{K}^n \subseteq \overline{K + \mathfrak{m}^i\hat{R}}^n \subseteq (K + \mathfrak{m}^i\hat{R})^{n-k} \subseteq K^{n-k} + \mathfrak{m}^i\hat{R}$$

by the case we have just proved. So $\overline{K}^n \subseteq K^{n-k}$ for $n \geq k$ by Nakayama lemma again. This proves $i(\hat{R}) \leq i(R)$, and the proof of the proposition is complete.

Now, we turn to giving a lower bound for $i(R)$. We will show that if R is of finite dimension $d > 0$, then $i(R)$ is at least $d - 1$. Let us begin with recalling Monomial Conjecture of Hochster which asserts that given any system of parameters $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_d$ of a d-dimensional local ring R, then for all $n > 1$

$$(x_1x_2\cdots x_d)^n \notin (x_1^{n+1}, x_2^{n+1}, \cdots, x_d^{n+1}).$$

Hochster proved the conjecture in the equicharacteristic case [Ho1] [Ho2]. In mixed cases, the conjecture remains undetermined, despite much effort. However, Hochster [Ho1] pointed out, for any system of parameters $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_d$ of R, there exists an integer t_0, such that for all $t \geq t_0$, $x_1^t, x_2^t, \cdots, x_d^t$ satisfying Monomial Conjecture. In particular, we have:

Lemma 2.9 *If R is a noetherian local ring of dimension $d > 0$, then there exists a system of parameters $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_d$ of R such that for all $n > 0$*

$$(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_d)^n \notin (x_1^{n+1}, x_2^{n+1}, \cdots, x_d^{n+1}).$$

By means of Lemma 2.9, we can prove the following interesting result.

Proposition 2.10 *Let R be a noetherian ring dimension $d > 0$. Then $i(R) \geq d - 1$.*

Proof. Choose a maximal ideal $\mathtt{m}$ of R such that $\mathrm{ht}(\mathtt{m}) = d$. It is clear, $\dim(R_{\mathtt{m}}) = d$. By Corollary 2.3, $i(R) \geq i(R_{\mathtt{m}})$. Replacing R by $R_{\mathtt{m}}$, we may assume R is a local ring with the maximal ideal $\mathtt{m}$.

If $d = 1$, it follows from the definition of integral dimension that $i(R) \geq 0$, and thus the conclusion is true in this case.

Now we assume $d \geq 2$. By Lemma 2.9, there is a system of parameters $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_d$ of R such that the element $(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_d)^n$ is not contained in the ideal $(x_1^{n+1}, x_2^{n+1}, \cdots, x_d^{n+1})$ for any $n > 0$.

Let us consider the two ideals $I = (x_1^d, x_1^{d-1}x_d, x_2^d, x_2^{d-1}x_d, \cdots, x_{d-1}^d, x_{d-1}^{d-1}x_d, x_d^d)$ and $J = (x_1^d, x_2^d, \cdots, x_d^d)$ of R. Since for each i $(1 \leq i \leq d-1)$

$$(x_i^{d-1}x_d)^d = (x_i^d)^{d-1}x_d^d \in (x_i^d, x_d^d)(x_i^d, x_i^{d-1}x_d, x_d^d)^{d-1},$$

it yields that

$$(x_i^d, x_i^{d-1}x_d, x_d^d)^d = (x_i^d, x_d^d)(x_i^d, x_i^{d-1}x_d, x_d^d)^{d-1}.$$

By Proposition 2.5, $x_i^{d-1}x_d$ is integral over the ideal (x_i^d, x_d^d), and thus $x_i^{d-1}x_d$ is integral over J for i $(1 \leq i \leq d-1)$. It shows I is generated by elements which are integral over J, and thus I is integral over J.

Suppose that $i(R) < d - 1$. We must have $I^{d-1} \subseteq J$ by the definition of integral dimension. In particular, it implies the multiplication of the following $d - 1$ elements

$$x_1^{d-1}x_d, \cdots, x_{d-1}^{d-1}x_d$$

lies in J, i.e.

$$(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_d)^{d-1} \in (x_1^d, x_2^d, \cdots, x_d^d),$$

and this contradicts the choices of $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_d$. Therefore $i(R) \geq d - 1$, and this proves the conclusion.

As an immediate consequence of Corollary 2.4 and Proposition 2.10, we have:

Corollary 2.11 *Let R be a noetherian ring. If $i(R) < \infty$, then $dim(R) < \infty$.*

Motivated by Proposition 2.10, we will say a noetherian local ring R of dimension d is of minimal integral dimension if $i(R) = d - 1$. It follows from the Lipman-Sathaye theorem [LS], $i(R) \leq d - 1$ for every regular ring of dimension $d > 0$. So by Proposition 2.10 , we have:

Corollary 2.12 *Let R be a regular local ring of dimension $d > 0$. Then R is of minimal integral dimension, i.e. $i(R) = d - 1$.*

In the rest of the section, we consider the local ring R with $i(R) = 0$. Clearly every ideal of R is integrally closed for such rings. By Proposition 2.10, we have $\dim R \leq 1$. If R is an artinian local ring, then every ideal I of R with $I \neq R$ is integral over the zero ideal 0. So $I = 0$, and R must be a field. For $\dim R = 1$, we have

Proposition 2.13 *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a noetherian local ring with $i(R) = 0$. If R is not a field, then R is a one dimensional regular local ring.*

Proof. As we point out as above, under the assumption of R, R must be a local ring of dimension 1. Since every ideal of R is integrally closed, it follows that R is reduced because the nilradical of R is integral over the zero ideal.

Now, we show that R is a domain. It suffices to prove that R has only one minimal prime ideal. Let $P_1, P_2, \cdots, P_r$ are all the minimal prime ideals of R.

If $r \geq 2$, we can choose elements a, b such that

$$a \in P_1, a \notin \cap_{i=2}^{r} P_i \text{ and } b \in \cap_{i=2}^{r} P_i, b \notin P_1.$$

It is obvious $ab = 0, (a + b) \notin P_1$ and $a^2 - (a + b)a = 0$. It shows a is integral over the ideal $(a + b)R$, and thus $a \in (a + b)R$ because of $\overline{(a + b)R} = (a + b)R$.

Write $a = (a + b)c$ for some $c \in R$. If $c \notin \mathfrak{m}$, then c is a unit of R. So

$$a + b = c^{-1}a \in P_1,$$

and this contradicts the choice of $a+b$. Hence $c \in \mathfrak{m}$, and it implies $a(1-c) = bc$. Thus

$$a \in \cap_{i=2}^{r} P_i$$

because $1 - c$ is a unit of R, which also contradicts the choice of a. Hence $r = 1$ and R is a domain.

Let $Q(R)$ be the field of the fractions of R. In order to prove that R is a regular local ring, it suffices to show that R is integrally closed in $Q(R)$ [Ma]. Let $\frac{a}{b}$ be an element of $Q(R)$ such that $\frac{a}{b}$ is integral over R, where $a, b \in R$. Hence there exists an equation of the form

$$(\frac{a}{b})^n + a_1(\frac{a}{b})^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0$$

where $a_i \in R$ for $(1 \leq i \leq n)$. It yields that

$$a^n + a_1 b a^{n-1} + \cdots + b^n a_n = 0.$$

It implies a is integral over the ideal bR. Since bR is integrally closed, it shows $a \in bR$, and thus $\frac{a}{b} \in R$. Therefore R is a integrally closed domain, and the proof of the proposition is complete.

The result of Proposition 2.13 means that a one dimensional noetherian local ring with minimal integral dimension is a regular local ring. It would be very interesting to study the properties of local rings with nonzero minimal integral dimension. We conjecture:

Conjecture 2.14 *Let (R, m) be a reduced local ring with minimal integral dimension $i(R) > 0$. Then R is a regular local ring.*

3 Main result

In this section, we will present several sufficient conditions for a ring R having finite integral dimension. Let us begin with recalling the notion of uniform Artin-Rees property studied by Huneke [Hu].

Let R be a noetherian ring, we say that R has the uniform Artin-Rees property, if for every pair $N \subseteq M$ of finitely generated R-modules, there exists a number k, depending on N, M, such that for all ideal I of R and all $n \geq k$

$$I^n M \cap N \subseteq I^{n-k} N.$$

First of all, we observe that if R has the uniform Artin-Rees property, then we can reduce the question when $i(R)$ is finite to the the reduced case when $i(R_{red}) < \infty$.

Proposition 3.1 *Let R be a noetherian ring. Then*

(i) *$i(R_{red}) \leq i(R)$.*

(ii) *If $i(R_{red}) < \infty$, then $i(R) < \infty$, provided that R has the uniform Artin-Rees property.*

Proof. (i) If $i(R) = \infty$, we must have $i(R_{red}) \leq \infty$ by (i), and the conclusion holds in this case.

Now we assume $i(R)$ is a finite number and put $i(R) = k$. For any ideal K of R_{red}, there is an ideal I with $Q \subseteq I$ such that $K = IR_{red}$. Note that $\overline{I}^n \subseteq I^{n-k}$ for $n \geq k$. By Proposition 2.1, $\overline{K} = \overline{I}R_{red}$. So

$$\overline{K}^n = \overline{I}^n R_{red} \subseteq I^{n-k} R_{red} = K^{n-k}$$

for $n \geq k$, this shows $i(R_{red}) \leq k$, and the proof of (i) is complete.

(ii) Let Q be the nilradical of R. If $Q = 0$, then $R = R_{red}$, and there is nothing to prove. Now, we assume $Q \neq 0$. Let n_0 be the positive integer such that $Q^{n_0} \neq 0$ and $Q^{n_0+1} = 0$. Since R has the uniform Artin-Rees property, for each $i (1 \leq i \leq n_0)$, there exists integer $k_i > 0$ such that for all ideals I of R and all $n \geq k_i$

$$I^n \cap Q^i \subseteq I^{n-k_i} Q^i. \tag{3.1}$$

Put $k = i(R_{red})$. By Proposition 2.1, for any ideal I of R, $\overline{IR_{red}} = \overline{I}R_{red}$. It yields

$$\overline{I}^n R_{red} \subseteq I^{n-k} R_{red}$$

for $n \geq k$. Equivalently, we have for $n \geq k$

$$\overline{I}^n \subseteq I^{n-k} + Q. \tag{3.2}$$

Since $I^{n-k} \subseteq \overline{I}^{n-k}$, it shows

$$\overline{I}^n \subseteq I^{n-k} + \overline{I}^{n-k} \cap Q.$$

Hence if $n \geq k + k_1$, it follows from (3.1)

$$\overline{I}^n \subseteq I^{n-k} + \overline{I}^{n-k-k_1} Q,$$

and then by (3.2), we have for $n \geq n - 2k - k_1$

$$\overline{I}^n \subseteq I^{n-k} + (I^{n-2k-k_1} + Q)Q \subseteq I^{n-2k-k_1} + Q^2. \tag{3.3}$$

In the following, we will use induction on i to prove

$$\overline{I}^n \subseteq I^{n-ik-k_1-\cdots-k_{i-1}} + Q^i. \tag{3.4}$$

for i $(2 \leq i \leq n_0 + 1)$ and for all $n \geq ik + k_1 + \cdots + k_{i-1}$.

Clearly, the conclusion holds for $i = 2$ by (3.3). Suppose that

$$\overline{I}^n \subseteq I^{n-jk-k_1-\cdots-k_{j-1}} + Q^j.$$

for some j with $(2 \leq j < n_0)$ and for all $n \geq jk + k_1 + \cdots + k_{j-1}$. Then we have

$$\overline{I}^n \subseteq I^{n-jk-k_1-\cdots-k_{j-1}} + \overline{I}^{n-jk-k_1-\cdots-k_{j-1}} \cap Q^j.$$

Hence by (3.1), we have for $n \geq jk + k_1 + \cdots + k_{j-1} + k_j$

$$\overline{I}^n \subseteq I^{n-jk-k_1-\cdots-k_{j-1}} + \overline{I}^{n-jk-k_1-\cdots-k_{j-1}-k_j} Q^j.$$

It follows from (3.2) that for $n \geq (j+1)k + k_1 + \cdots + k_{j-1} + k_j$

$$\overline{I}^n \subseteq I^{n-(j+1)k-k_1-\cdots-k_j} + Q^{j+1}.$$

Hence by induction, (3.4) holds for all $i (2 \leq i \leq n_0 + 1)$. Note that $Q^{n_0+1} = 0$. Thus we have shown

$$\overline{I}^n \subseteq I^{n-(n_0+1)k-k_1-\cdots-k_{n_0}}.$$

for $n \geq (n_0+1)k + k_1 + \cdots + k_{n_0}$ and for all ideals I of R. Therefore $i(R) < \infty$, and this ends the proof of (ii).

To give a sufficient condition for a ring R having the uniform Artin-Rees property, Huneke [Hu] studied two ideals $T(R)$ and $CM(R)$ of R.

For an integer k, set $T_k(R) = \bigcap_{I,n} (I^{n-k} : \overline{I^n})$, where the intersection is taken over all n and all ideals I of R. We define $T(R) = \bigcup_k T_k(R)$. It is clear if k

increases, the ideals T_k also increase. Hence $T(R)$ is an ideal of R. If $T(R) = R$, we say that R has the uniform Briançon-Skoda property. Clearly, R has the uniform Briançon-Skoda property if and only if there exists an integer $k \geq 0$ such that for $n \geq k$ and all ideals I of R

$$\overline{I^n} \subseteq I^{n-k}.$$

By Corollary 2.6, one can see easily $i(R) < \infty$ if R has the uniform Briançon-Skoda property.

We need the following notion of standard complex of free modules to describe the definition of the ideal $CM(R)$. Recalling that a complex of finitely generated free R-modules $F_\bullet$:

$$0 \to F_n \stackrel{f_n}{\to} F_{n-1} \to \cdots \to F_1 \stackrel{f_1}{\to} F_0$$

is said to satisfy the standard condition on rank if $\operatorname{rank}(f_n) = \operatorname{rank}(F_n)$, and $\operatorname{rank}(f_{i+1}) + \operatorname{rank}(f_i) = \operatorname{rank}(F_i)$ for $1 \leq i < n$. Here we think f_i as given by a matrix A_i, and the rank of f_i is the determinantal rank of A_i. Let $I(f_i)$ be the ideal generated by the rank-size minors of A_i. We say that $F_\bullet$ satisfies the standard condition on height if $\operatorname{ht}(I(f_i) \geq i$ for all i. Note that the height an ideal I is ∞ if $I = R$. We define $CM(R)$ to be the ideal generated by all elements $a \in R$ such that for all complexes $F_\bullet$ of finitely generated free R-modules satisfying the standard conditions on height and rank, $x\mathrm{H}_i(F_\bullet) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.

As an easy consequence of Buchsbaum-Eisenbud criterion theorem for exactness of a free complex [BE], it follows that $CM(R) = R$ if and only if R is a Cohen-Macaulay ring. One of the important questions concerning $CM(R)$ is when $CM(R)$ is not contained in any minimal prime ideal of R. This question is closely related to the existence of uniform local cohomological annihilators of R which was introduced by the author [Zh1].

Recall that an element $a \in R$ is said to be a uniform local cohomological annihilator of R, if

(i) a is not contained in any minimal prime ideal of R,

(ii) For every maximal ideal $\mathtt{m}$, a kills the i-th local cohomology module $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathtt{m}}(R)$ for $i < \operatorname{ht}(\mathtt{m})$.

It is known [cf. Zh2] that $CM(R)$ is not contained in any minimal prime ideal of R if and only if R has a uniform local cohomological annihilator. Moreover, Zhou proved a noetherian domain of finite dimension has a uniform local cohomological annihilator, provided R is a homomorphic image of a Cohen-Macaulay ring [Zh1] or R is an excellent ring [Zh2].

By means of $T(R/P)$ and $CM(R/P)$ for every prime ideal P of R, Huneke [Hu] proved an important criterion for rings having the uniform Artin-Rees property. The same proof of Huneke works for the following criterion, which replaces the condition $T(R/P) \neq 0$ of [Hu, Theorem 3.4] by $i(R/P) < \infty$.

Theorem 3.2 *Let R be a noetherian ring with infinite residue fields. Assume that for all nonzero prime ideals P of R the following conditions hold:*

(i) $i(R/P) < \infty$.
(ii) $CM(R/P) \neq 0$.
Then R has the uniform Artin-Rees property.

Combining Theorem 3.2 with the mentioned results of the author [Zh1] [Zh2] , we have:

Corollary 3.3 *Let R be a finite dimensional noetherian ring with $i(R/P) < \infty$ for any prime ideal of R. If R satisfies one of the following conditions:*
(i) *R is an excellent ring.*
(ii) *R is a quotient ring of a Cohen-Macaulay ring of finite dimension.*
Then R has the uniform Artin-Rees property.

The following remarkable result is proved by Huneke [Hu, Theorem 4.12], which gives three sufficient conditions for rings having the uniform Artin-Rees property. In particular, the theorem asserts that every local ring has the uniform Artin-Rees property.

Theorem 3.4 *Let R be a noetherian ring of finite dimension. R has the uniform Artin-Rees property if R satisfies one of the following conditions:*
(i) *R is essentially of finite type over a local ring.*
(ii) *R is a ring of characteristic $p > 0$, and R is module finite over R^p.*
(iii) *R is essentially of finite type over the ring of integer numbers $\boldsymbol{Z}$.*

The another remarkable result of Huneke [Hu] is the following uniform Briançon-Skoda theorem [Hu, Theorem 4.13].

Theorem 3.5 *Let R be a reduced noetherian ring of finite dimension. R has the uniform Briançon-Skoda property if R satisfies one of the following conditions:*
(i) *R is essentially of finite type over an excellent local ring.*
(ii) *R is a ring of characteristic $p > 0$, and R is module finite over R^p.*
(iii) *R is essentially of finite type over the ring of integer numbers $\boldsymbol{Z}$.*

Now, we turn to the main result of the paper. By means of Proposition 3.1, Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5, we can prove the following main result, which is a weaker generalization of Theorem 3.5.

Theorem 3.6 *Let R be a noetherian ring of finite dimension. Then $i(R) < \infty$ if R satisfies one of the following conditions:*
(i) *R is essentially of finite type over a noetherian local ring.*
(ii) *R is a ring of characteristic p, and R is module finite over R^p.*
(iii) *R is essentially of finite type over the ring of integer numbers $\boldsymbol{Z}$.*

Proof. (i) By Corollary 2.3, we may assume R is of finite type over a local ring $(S, \mathtt{m})$. Let $\hat{S}$ be the completion of S in the $\mathtt{m}$-adic topology. It is known $\hat{S}$ is faithfully flat over S, and thus $B = R \otimes_S \hat{S}$ is of finite type and faithfully flat over $\hat{S}$. From Proposition 2.7, it suffices to prove $i(B) < \infty$. Replacing R by B, and S by $\hat{S}$, we may assume R is of finite type over an excellent ring S.

Write $R = S[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_r]/I$, where I is an ideal of the polynomial ring $S[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_r]$. Let $P_1, P_2, \cdots, P_t$ be all the minimal prime ideals of I. Set $p_i = P_i \cap S$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$. It is not difficult to see that

$$R_{red} = S[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_r]/P_1 \cap P_2 \cap \cdots \cap P_t$$

is of finite type over the reduced excellent ring $S/p_1 \cap p_2 \cap \cdots \cap p_t$. By Theorem 3.5, R_{red} has the uniform Briançon-Skoda property. In particular $i(R_{red}) < \infty$. Now from Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 3.1, we conclude $i(R) < \infty$, and this proves (i).

(ii) First, we show that R_{red} is module finite over $(R_{red})^p$. In fact, let $P_1, P_2, \cdots, P_r$ are all the minimal prime ideal of R. It is easy to see $R^p/(P_i \cap R^p)$ is naturally isomorphic to $(R/P_i)^p$ and $P_i \cap R^p = P_i{}^p$ is a prime ideal of R^p. Hence the nilpotent ideal of R^p

$$I = P_1 \cap P_2 \cap \cdots \cap P_r \cap R^p = P_1{}^p \cap P_2{}^p \cap \cdots \cap P_r{}^p$$

is an intersection of prime ideals of R^p. Conversely the nilradical ideal J is clearly contained in I, so I is the nilradical ideal of R^p. It is easy to see P_i^p are all the distinct minimal prime ideals of R^p. Moreover, there is a natural isomorphism between the rings R^p/I and $(R_{red})^p$. So if R is module finite over R^p, then R_{red} is module finite over R^p/I, and thus is module finite over $(R_{red})^p$.

Now from Theorem 3.5, R_{red} has the uniform Briançon-Skoda property. In particular $i(R_{red}) < \infty$. Now from Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 3.1, we conclude $i(R) < \infty$, and this ends the proof of (ii).

(iii) Clearly, R_{red} is also essentially of finite type over the ring of integer numbers $\mathbf{Z}$. Hence from Theorem 3.5 $i(R_{red}) < \infty$. The conclusion follows from Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 3.1, and this ends the proof of the theorem.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 3.5 is the following finiteness property of $i(R)$ for any noetherian local ring R.

Corollary 3.7 *Let R be a noetherian local ring. Then $i(R) < \infty$.*

References

[AW] Andersson, M., Wulcan, E.: Global effective versions of the Briançon-Skoda-Huneke theorem. *Invent. Math.* **200**, 607-651(2015)

[BE] Buchsbaum, D., Eisenbud, D.: What makes a complexes exact. *J. Algebra* **25**, 259-268(1973)

[BS] Briançon, J., Skoda, H.: Sur la clóture intégrale d' un idéal de germes de fonctions holomorphes en un point de $\mathbf{C}^n$. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A.* **278**, 949-951(1974)

[Ei] Eisenbud, D.: Commutative algebra. With a view toward algebraic geometry. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* **160**, Springer, New York (1995)

[Gr] Grothendieck, A.: Local Cohomology. *Lect. Notes Math.* **41**, Springer-Verlag, New York (1963)

[HK] Herzog, J., Kunz, E.: Der Kanonische Module eines Cohen-Macaulay Rings. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **238**, Springer-Verlag, New York(1971)

[Ho1] Hochster, M.: Contracted ideals from integral extensions of regular rings. *Nagoya Math. J.* **51** 25-43(1973)

[Ho2] Hochster, M.: Canonical elements in local cohomology modules and the direct summand conjecture. *J. Algebra* **84**, 503-553(1983)

[HH1] Hochster, M., Huneke C.: Tight closure, invariant theory, and the Briançon-Skoda theorem. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **3**, 31-116(1990)

[HH2] Hochster, M., Huneke C.: Infinite integral extensions and big Cohen-Macaulay algebras. *Annals of math.* **3**, 53-89(1992)

[HH3] Hochster, M., Huneke C.: Comparison of symbolic and ordinary powers of ideals. *Invent. Math.* **147**, 349-369(2002)

[HS] Huneke, C., Swanson, I.: Integral Closure of Ideals, Rings and Modules. *London Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser.* **336**, Camb. Univ. Press 2006

[Hu] Huneke, C.: Uniform bounds in noetherian rings. *Invent. Math.* **107**, 203-223 (1992)

[Hü] Hübl, R.: Derivations and the integral closure of ideals, with an appendix Zeros of differentials along ideals. by I. Swanson. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127**, 3503-3511 (1999)

[It] Itoh, S. Integral closures of ideals of the principal class. *Hiroshima Math. J.* **17** 373-375 (1987)

[Ku] Kunz, E.: On noetherian rings of characteristic p. *Am. J. Math.* **98**, 999-1013 (1976).

[LS] Lipman, J., Sathaye, A.: Jacobian ideals and a theorem of Briançon-Skoda. *Mich. Math. J.* **28**, 199-222 (1981)

[Ly] Lyubeznik, G.: A property of ideals in polynomial rings. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **98**, 399-400 (1986)

[Ma] Matsumura, H.: Commutative Ring Theory, *Cambrige Univ. Press, New York*, (1986)

[NR] Northcott, D., G., Rees, D.: Reductions of ideals in local rings. *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **50**, 145-158 (1954)

[Re] Rees, D.: A note on analytically unramified local rings. *J. London Math. Soc.* **36**, 24-28 (1961)

[Sc] Schenzel, P.: Cohomological annihilators. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **91**, 345-350 (1982)

[Sh1] Sharp, R.: Local cohomology theory in commutative algebra. *Q. J. Math. Oxford* **21**, 425-434 (1970)

[Sw1] Swanson, I.: Joint reductions, tight closure and the Briançcon-Skoda Theorem. *J. Algebra* **147**, 128-136 (1992)

[Sw2] Swanson, I.: Joint reductions, tight closure and the Briançcon-Skoda Theorem. *J. Algebra* **170**, 567-583 (1994)

[Zh1] Zhou, C.: Uniform annihilators of local cohomology. *J. Algebra* **305**, 585-602 (2006)

[Zh2] Zhou, C.: Uniform annihilators of local cohomology of excellent rings. *J. Algebra* **315**, 286-300 (2007)